

THE ARIZONA STATEMENT

— on Reversing —

America's Chronic Disease Epidemic and Restoring Health Freedom

A science and law-based roadmap to restore informed choice,
clinical autonomy and effective prevention.

A consensus statement from
the Alliance for Natural Health Corporate Leadership Circle
following a summit held in Scottsdale, AZ, February 10-11, 2026

Authors:
Robert Verkerk, Ph.D. and Jonathan Emord, Esq.

Publication date: April 9, 2026



alliance for
natural health
USA



Alliance for
Natural Health
Corporate
Leadership
Circle



We, the undersigned healthcare, legal, scientific, policy, public health and industry leaders, gathered in Scottsdale, Arizona, on February 10 and 11, 2026, to propose reforms to reverse America's chronic disease epidemic.

We issue this Statement to affirm the principles and actions essential to restoring health, extending healthy lifespan, reducing the human and economic burden of chronic disease and protecting individual liberty, informed choice and lawful innovation.

We commit to advancing these principles and actions through education, advocacy, litigation and legislation at the federal and state levels until meaningful reform is achieved.



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- **The Arizona Statement calls for urgent reform to reverse America’s chronic disease epidemic. This crisis is damaging health, productivity, household and public finances and national resilience.**
- **It reflects not only poor health outcomes, but a system shaped by social, economic, commercial and environmental determinants of health and by policies that overemphasize reactive, downstream pharmaceutical and procedure-based care while under-supporting prevention, health maintenance, sanitation, hygiene, nutrition, lifestyle and other lower-risk approaches.**
- **It is also perpetuated by outdated legal and regulatory definitions, especially of “food” and “drug,” that suppress truthful health information, restrict innovation and limit access to preventive and therapeutic options.**
- **The Statement and its signatories call for a new framework that protects liberty and informed choice, restores public-health fundamentals, modernizes statutory classifications, expands access to lower-risk and personalized care and advances reform through education, advocacy, litigation and legislation grounded in evidence, safety, transparency and integrity.**

1. Why This Statement, Why Now

The United States faces a chronic disease epidemic that is diminishing quality of life, shortening healthy lifespan, lessening productivity, increasing healthcare expenditure, burdening public finances and placing growing pressure on household, employer and public budgets. Chronic disease also bears on military readiness and risks undermining national security. [1-5]

Legacy practices and economics in favor of prescription drugs in a sick-care system are not working. [6-10] Modernizing outdated regulation and discriminatory market practices would directly improve public health while reducing healthcare spending. Specifically, structural blocks must be broken down to enable non-drug preventive and therapeutic options to have the opportunities to compete on the merits in standards of care as preventative and management solutions with fair access to reimbursement.

America's major gains in life expectancy and quality of life over the last century were not produced by modern medicine and pharmaceuticals alone. They were built through advances in public health, including sanitation, safer water, hygiene, food quality and availability, and proactive self-care. [11-13] That history matters: durable gains in population health arise when society strengthens the upstream conditions that create health, rather than relying predominantly on reactive, downstream disease management after dysfunction and disease are established. [14,15]

This epidemic is the consequence of social, economic, political, commercial, cultural and environmental determinants of health that impose barriers that restrict access to truthful health information, impede preventive and integrative approaches, distort healthcare and food-market incentives, suppress competition and innovation and deny individuals and practitioners freedom to pursue evidence-based, lower-risk options for maintaining and restoring health. [16-22] It is aggravated by health systems that are disproportionately organized around late-stage pharmaceutical and procedure-based management, while under-supporting prevention, health maintenance or restoration, sanitation, hygiene, nutrition, lifestyle and other lower-risk determinants of resilient health. [13-27]

It is also the consequence of anachronistic legal and regulatory definitions that, if ever fit for purpose, are no longer so, either scientifically or legally. In particular, definitions of "food" and "drug" and the way those definitions have been interpreted and enforced, have too often created artificial barriers between nourishment and therapy, prevention and treatment and natural health support and regulated medicine. [28-30]

These rigid classifications have contributed to a regulatory environment that suppresses health information and innovation, limits access and imposes narrow biomedical intervention models, defeating the broader goal of supporting good health throughout life. [31-36]

Reversing the chronic disease epidemic requires a multi-faceted response. That response must include restoration of the free flow of truthful, non-misleading health information; expansion of access to preventive, personalized and less invasive health care; modernization of legal definitions and regulatory classifications; removal of unnecessary barriers to innovation and market entry; and reform of laws, regulations and standards that impose narrow treatment models in lieu of prevention, root-cause resolution and informed choice.

2. Core Principles

We, the undersigned, affirm the following principles:

2.1 Individual Liberty and Informed Choice

Every individual has the right to receive truthful, non-misleading information concerning nutrition, lifestyle, non-toxic modalities and other lawful means of reducing disease risk and improving health. Every individual has the right, on the basis of fully informed consent, to choose among lawful healthcare options, including options not favored, subsidized, or approved by dominant regulatory authorities. Individuals and enterprises likewise have the right to innovate and compete in healthcare and health-related markets free from arbitrary or anti-competitive restraints. [37-40]

2.2 Freedom from Prior Restraint and Arbitrary Exclusion

Government has a legitimate role in addressing fraud, deception, adulteration and demonstrable harm. It does not have a legitimate role in suppressing truthful speech, blocking lawful innovation, or excluding lower-risk, demonstrably beneficial health options from the marketplace. Regulatory agencies should be judged by whether they target actual fraud and harm while respecting constitutional limits, not by whether they maximize pre-emptive control over lawful health communications and competition. [35,36,38-40]

2.3 Prevention, Early Intervention, Root-Cause Care, and Public Health Foundations

The current late-stage intervention and disease management system cannot solve the chronic disease epidemic. Prevention, early intervention, health maintenance throughout life and root-cause approaches must be the primary means of addressing the epidemic, with greater openness to therapeutic nutrition, lifestyle medicine, low-risk natural products and individualized care. This includes renewed emphasis on sanitation, hygiene, infection control, food quality, health education, oral and nasal health, physical activity, sleep and other non-pharmaceutical determinants of resilience and health restoration. [11,12,23-25,41,42]

2.4 Modern, Science-Based Definitions and Classifications

“Food,” “dietary ingredient,” “medical food” and “drug” should be defined and applied in ways that reflect biological reality, degree of risk, intended physiological role and the continuum between nourishment, function support, disease risk reduction and therapeutic benefit. Legal definitions should not require foods and dietary supplements with therapeutic effects to remain lawful only if those effects are disclaimed, nor should they treat “drug” status as the exclusive gateway for communicating disease treatment or prevention benefits when such effects may also be achieved by foods, dietary ingredients and botanicals under appropriate standards of evidence and safety. [31,34-36,43]

2.5 Affordability, Accessibility and Competition

Healthcare becomes more accessible and affordable when: (1) consumers and practitioners have access to a broader range of lawful options; (2) when markets permit fair competition; (3) when innovation is not unnecessarily delayed or stifled and; (4) reimbursement organizations, notably Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) or pharmacy benefit managers (PBMs), allow coverage of alternative products (e.g., therapeutic nutrition, botanicals, frequency medicine) which sometimes are the only safe or effective option for the patient after drugs have failed. Preventing chronic disease and supporting health across the lifespan should be the primary health care and health law objectives. [2,4,23-25]

2.6 Evidence, Proportionality, and Transparency

Standards of evidence should be rigorous, honest, and proportionate to risk. Public policy should not impose specific drug-style evidentiary or regulatory burdens and thus should be appropriate for the product category and relative risk regarding foods, nutrients, natural products, or other non-drug modalities where such burdens suppress access and competition. Credible and reliable scientific evidence that includes peer-reviewed scientific evidence and real-world data should remain the benchmark, but substantiation standards should be qualified where appropriate and calibrated to product category, claim type and level of risk. Regulatory decision-making should be transparent, accountable and open to challenge.

[35,36,38,39,44-46]

2.7 Access, Education, Ethics, and Professional Use of Natural Health Products

Natural and food-based health products should have the legal and regulatory freedom to be researched, developed, commercialized, recommended and where lawful and appropriate, prescribed within the U.S. healthcare system as viable, safe and lower-risk options for health maintenance, chronic disease prevention and disease management. Public policy should not impose undue regulatory barriers, enforcement actions, or reimbursement exclusions that impede clinical investigation, professional recommendation, patient access, or appropriate use. Their research, development and clinical use should be governed by a coherent framework of bioethics in research and medical ethics in practice, grounded in scientific integrity, proportionality of risk, informed consent, patient welfare, professional accountability and respect for individual autonomy. Education in therapeutic nutrition and related non-drug interventions should be strengthened in medical and other licensed practitioner training and qualified non-physician practitioners should be permitted to recommend or prescribe such products within their lawful scopes of practice.

3. System Failures That Perpetuate the Chronic Disease Epidemic

Based on the evidence cited above, we recognize that the current legal and regulatory environment too often:

- Restricts truthful, non-misleading communication about the relationship between dietary ingredients, foods, lifestyle practices and disease risk reduction;
- Suppresses preventive, nutritional and integrative approaches by confining them to subordinate roles within a system dominated by pharmaceutical and procedure-based models;
- Relies on outdated and overly rigid definitions of “food” and “drug” that fail to reflect modern science and that improperly separate nutrition, physiological support, disease risk reduction and therapeutic use;
- Imposes licensing, scope-of-practice and standard-of-care constraints that limit individualized care, professional judgment and patient choice;
- Blocks or delays access to lower-risk, health-supporting products and modalities through regulatory classifications, market-entry barriers and burdensome evidentiary demands;
- Construes laws governing foods, dietary supplements, medical foods and non-drug therapies in ways that protect incumbent commercial interests and regulatory orthodoxies rather than consumers and patients;
- Applies investigational new drug (IND) requirements to food-based clinical nutrition and functional studies in ways that effectively prohibit such research by creating a substantial risk that regulators will deem the test product an unapproved drug, even where it is not being studied, developed, or marketed with drug-type claims and;
- Discourages innovation that could reduce costs, expand competition and improve outcomes in the prevention and management of chronic disease.

We further recognize that these failures are not confined to one category of product or practice. They affect the entire ecology of chronic disease prevention and reversal: information, education, professional practice, food systems, dietary supplements, medical foods, public health measures such as sanitation and hygiene, other non-drug interventions and promising emerging, biocompatible approaches. [6,7,23-25,41,42]

4. Reforms Needed to Reverse the Chronic Disease Epidemic

To satisfy these unmet needs and to maximize liberty, informed choice, healthy lifespan and equitable access to health-promoting options, we call for reforms that:

- Protect and expand the communication of truthful, non-misleading health information, including information concerning the role of foods, dietary ingredients, hygiene, lifestyle and other lawful modalities in reducing disease risk and supporting health;
- Restore sanitation, hygiene, food/water/air quality, health education, and other public health fundamentals to a central place in health promotion and chronic disease prevention policy;
- Modernize statutory and regulatory definitions, especially those governing “food,” “dietary supplement,” “dietary ingredient,” “medical food” and “drug,” so that they reflect contemporary science;
- End the use of overbroad “drug” classification theories that treat non-toxic nutritional, physiological, or natural-health interventions as if they were inherently analogous to high-risk pharmaceutical products;
- Realign FDA, FTC and other agencies with their lawful roles so that enforcement targets fraud, deception, adulteration and demonstrable harm, rather than truthful, non-misleading communication and lawful innovation;
- Eliminate unjustified regulatory barriers to the lawful use of dietary ingredients, medical foods, natural products and other lower-risk interventions in the prevention and management of disease;
- Reform licensing, scope-of-practice and standard-of-care rules so that qualified practitioners across disciplines may exercise professional judgment and offer individualized care without arbitrary exclusion;
- Ensure that standards of evidence are proportionate to risk and fit for purpose, rather than borrowed indiscriminately from pharmaceutical regulation;
- Remove reimbursement and market distortions that systematically privilege high-cost downstream management over validated preventive and restorative care;
- Foster open competition and lawful innovation in healthcare, food and health-product markets so that consumers have broader access to affordable, preventive and personalized options;
- Restore accountability, transparency and constitutional discipline in regulatory decision-making at the federal and state levels and;
- Open access through fair reimbursement and coverage for therapeutic nutritional or other natural health products that meet objective medical and scientific criteria, including under Medicare, Medicaid, TRICARE and Veterans Health Administration programs, by adopting reimbursement standards that place such products on an equitable basis with prescription drugs where comparable clinical need and evidentiary standards are met.

5. Stakeholder Commitments

As companies, clinicians, researchers, non-governmental organizations, legal advocates and allied partners, we commit to:

- Upholding high standards of quality, safety, evidence and transparency across therapeutic and preventive nutrition, natural health and health care products and related health services;
- Supporting rigorous research, including mechanistic, clinical and real-world evidence, to demonstrate safety, effectiveness and economic value, including where relevant the role of sanitation, hygiene, barrier integrity and host-microbiome interactions in maintaining health;
- Advancing legal, scientific and policy efforts to modernize definitions and classifications that impede progress in chronic disease prevention and health restoration;
- Developing, distributing and marketing products ethically and responsibly, with product claims and related educational materials supported by competent and reliable scientific evidence, generally accepted scientific and medical practices and clear regulatory standards;
- Advancing public and professional education that is truthful, non-misleading, accessible and empowering;
- Opposing fraud, deception, adulteration and overstatement from any quarter, while defending truthful, non-misleading communications supported by competent evidence;
- Collaborating across disciplines to challenge barriers that impede communication of truthful health information, disease prevention, health care innovation, informed choice and individualized care;
- Supporting regulatory reform, legislation, litigation and public-interest advocacy consistent with the principles set out in this Statement.

6. Closing Declaration

America's chronic disease epidemic will not be reversed by maintaining the status quo or addressing the problem at the periphery rather than at its heart. It will be reversed by restoring liberty, expanding prevention, modernizing outdated regulatory definitions, facilitating innovation, expanding access to truthful information and lower-risk options and ending regulatory strictures that block truth and progress.

Nor will it be reversed by treating pharmaceuticals and medical procedures as the default organizing principle of health policy. Legitimate medical care remains indispensable, but it must be rebalanced within a broader framework that gives public health, health promotion, disease prevention, therapeutic nutrition, lifestyle and other lower-cost and lower-risk options their proper place.

We therefore adopt this Arizona Statement as a declaration of shared purpose and as a call to action for lawmakers, regulators, practitioners, innovators and citizens across the United States.

We invite all who share this vision - regardless of background - to join us in this urgent effort.

Adopted in Scottsdale, Arizona, on March 30, 2026.

Contributors to the Arizona Statement

Nathan Jones

Founder & CEO, Xlear Inc.;
ANH-USA Board & Corporate
Leadership Circle Member

James D. Weir

CEO, Primus Pharmaceuticals,
Inc.; ANH-USA Corporate
Leadership Circle Member

Ronald Hoffman, M.D.

President, Medical Director,
ANH-USA; Host: Intelligent
Medicine Podcast

**Jeffrey Morrison
M.D., C.N.S.**

Founder, The Morrison Center,
New York; President,
American Academy of
Environmental Medicine;
ANH-USA Board & Corporate
Leadership Circle Member

**Dr. Nasha Winters,
N.D., FABNO**

ANH-USA Board Member

**Meleni Aldridge, BSc
NutrMed, PgDip CPNI,
Cert LTHFE**

Operations Director &
Treasurer, ANH-USA
Executive Coordinator,
ANH International

Gretchen DuBeau, Esq.

Former Executive Director,
ANH-USA,
ANH-USA Board Member

Ruth Westreich

President, The Westreich
Foundation;
ANH-USA Board Member

Michael Ames-Sikora

Editorial Director, ANH-USA

Reggie Black

CEO, Better Way Health;
ANH-USA Corporate
Leadership Circle Member

KC Craichy

Founder & CEO, Living Fuel,
Inc.; ANH-USA Corporate
Leadership Circle Member

Heidi Arens,

MSN, FNP-BC, IFMCP
Family Nurse Practitioner;
ANH-USA Corporate
Leadership Circle Member

Jim Emme

CEO, NOW Health Group;
ANH-USA Corporate
Leadership Circle Member

**Neil Edward Levin,
CCN, DANLA**

Senior Nutrition Education
Manager, NOW Health Group,
Inc.; ANH-USA Corporate
Leadership Circle Member

Jerry Smith

Founder & President, Le Bleu
Corporation & Mighty
Muscadine Corporation;
ANH-USA Corporate
Leadership Circle Member

Judy Follette

Co-Founder, Le Bleu
Corporation & Mighty
Muscadine Corporation;
ANH-USA Corporate
Leadership Circle Member

Richard D. Savage

Owner/Managing Director,
Meditrend, Inc.;
ANH-USA Corporate
Leadership Circle Member

Seneca Savage

President, Meditrend, Inc.;
ANH-USA Corporate
Leadership Circle Member

Matt Warnock, J.D. MBA

Co-author of "Rethinking
Medicine" CEO/Owner of
RidgeCrest Herbs, Inc.;
ANH-USA Corporate
Leadership Circle Member

Corey Caudill

President, Caudill Sprouting;
ANH-USA Corporate
Leadership Circle Member

Judy Mikovits, Ph.D.

Director of Cancer Biology,
EpiGenX Pharmaceuticals

Jerry Norskog

EO Board Chair, Omnitura
Therapeutics and Genyous
Biomedical Int'l

Founding Co-Signers

Dr. Charles B. Simone, M.D.

Oncologist and Immunologist,
Simone Protective Cancer
Institute

**Frank A. Shallenberger, III,
MD, HMD, FAAO**

Founder and Past President
American Academy of Ozone
Therapy Medical Director,
The Nevada Center

Dr. Eric Berg

Chiropractor, Owner,
Dr Berg Nutritionals

Dr. Meryl Nass, M.D.

President, Door to Freedom

Sheila M. Furey, M.D.

President of Virginia Medical
Freedom Alliance; Physician
in Private Practice in Virginia;
President of Virginia Medical
Freedom Alliance

Dr. Henry L. Ealy III

Licensed Doctor of
Naturopathic Medicine;
Founder & Executive
Community Director,
Energetic Health Institute

**Robert Scott Bell,
D.A. Hom.**

Homeopath; Speaker;
Lecturer; Broadcaster &
Educator; Founder &
Broadcaster, The Robert Scott
Bell Show; Board Member,
Trinity School of Natural
Health and United Precious
Metals Association

Julie Kline, CNHP

President, Trinity School of
Natural Health, Inc.

Jonathan Lizotte

Dietary Supplement Industry
Entrepreneur; Founder,
Executive Chair, Designs for
Health

Alexis Baden-Mayer, Esq.

Legal Expert, Research Director,
Organic Consumers
Association

REFERENCES

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. About chronic diseases. Updated March 14, 2025. <https://www.cdc.gov/chronic-disease/about/index.html> [Accessed March 16, 2026].
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Fast facts: health and economic costs of chronic conditions. Updated August 8, 2025. <https://www.cdc.gov/chronic-disease/data-research/facts-stats/index.html> [Accessed March 16, 2026].
3. Watson KB, Wiltz JL, Nhim K, Kaufmann RB, Thomas CW, Greenlund KJ. Trends in multiple chronic conditions among US adults, by life stage, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2013-2023. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2025;22:E15. doi:10.5888/pcd22.240539.
4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. NHE fact sheet. Updated January 14, 2026. <https://www.cms.gov/data-research/statistics-trends-and-reports/national-health-expenditure-data/nhe-fact-sheet> [Accessed March 16, 2026].
5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Physical activity and military readiness. Updated December 19, 2025. 2026. <https://www.cdc.gov/physical-activity/php/military-readiness/index.htm> [Accessed March 16, 2026].
6. Angell M. *The Truth About the Drug Companies: How They Deceive Us and What to Do About It.* 2004. New York, NY: Random House.
7. Smith R. *The Trouble with Medical Journals.* London, UK: Royal Society of Medicine Press; 2006.
8. Ioannidis JPA. Why most published research findings are false. *PLoS Med.* 2005;2(8):e124. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0020124.
9. Gøtzsche PC. *Deadly Medicines and Organised Crime: How Big Pharma Has Corrupted Healthcare.* 2013. London, UK: Radcliffe Publishing.
10. Ioannidis JPA. Evidence-based medicine has been hijacked: a report to David Sackett. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2016;73:82-86. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.02.012.
11. Ten Great Public Health Achievements - United States, 1900-1999. *JAMA.* 1999;281(16):1481. doi:10.1001/jama.281.16.1481.
12. Cutler DM, Miller G. The role of public health improvements in health advances: the twentieth-century United States. *Demography.* 2005;42(1):1-22. doi:10.1353/dem.2005.0002.
13. Waldman SA, Terzic A. Health care evolves from reactive to proactive. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2019;105(1):10-13. doi: 10.1002/cpt.1295.
14. Fries CJ. Healing Health Care: From sick care towards salutogenic healing systems. *Soc Theory Health.* 2020;18(1):16-32. doi: 10.1057/s41285-019-00103-2.
15. Jackson M, Moore MD. Introduction: balancing the self in the twentieth century. In: Jackson M, Moore MD, editors. *Balancing the self: Medicine, politics and the regulation of health in the twentieth century* [Internet]. Manchester (UK): Manchester University Press; 2020. Chapter 1. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK555715/> [Accessed March 16, 2026].
16. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine; National Academy of Medicine; Committee on the Future of Nursing 2020–2030; Flaubert JL, Le Menestrel S, Williams DR, et al., editors. *The Future of Nursing 2020-2030: Charting a Path to Achieve Health Equity.* Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2021 May 11. 2, Social Determinants of Health and Health Equity. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK573923/> [Accessed March 16, 2026].
17. Frank J, Abel T, Campostrini S, Cook S, Lin VK, McQueen DV. The Social Determinants of Health: Time to Re-Think? *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020;17(16):5856. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17165856.
18. de Lacy-Vawdon C, Livingstone C. Defining the commercial determinants of health: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health* 2020;20(1):1022. doi: 10.1186/s12889-020-09126-1.

19. Galea S, Gilmore A, Maassen A, Costongs C, Kickbusch I (Eds). Tackling political and commercial determinants of health through policy and governance. *Eurohealth*. 2025;31(3). European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies. Available from: <https://eurohealthobservatory.who.int/publications/i/tackling-political-and-commercial-determinants-of-health-through-policy-and-governance> [Accessed March 16, 2026].
20. Livingston V, Jackson-Nevels B, Reddy VV. Social, cultural, and economic determinants of well-being. *Encyclopedia*. 2022; 2(3):1183-1199. doi: 10.3390/encyclopedia2030079
21. Gilmore AB, Fabbri A, Baum F, Bertscher A, Bondy K, Chang HJ, Demaio S, Erzse A, Freudenberg N, Friel S, Hofman KJ, Johns P, Abdool Karim S, Lacy-Nichols J, de Carvalho CMP, Marten R, McKee M, Petticrew M, Robertson L, Tangcharoensathien V, Thow AM. Defining and conceptualising the commercial determinants of health. *Lancet*. 2023 Apr 8;401(10383):1194-1213. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(23)00013-2.
22. Gibson JM. Environmental determinants of health. In: Daaleman, T., Helton, M. (eds) *Chronic Illness Care*. 2018. Springer, Cham. Available from: doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-71812-5_37 [Accessed March 16, 2026].
23. Dietz WH, Brownson RC, Douglas CE, et al. Chronic disease prevention: tobacco, physical activity, and nutrition for a healthy start: a vital direction for health and health care. *NAM Perspect*. 2016. doi:10.31478/201609j.
24. Bodai BI, Nakata TE, Wong WT, et al. Lifestyle medicine: a brief review of its dramatic impact on health and survival. *Perm J*. 2018;22:17-025. doi:10.7812/TPP/17-025.
25. Rippe JM. Lifestyle medicine: the health promoting power of daily habits and practices. *Am J Lifestyle Med*. 2018;12(6):499-512. doi:10.1177/1559827618785554.
26. Ludwig DS, Kushi LH, Heymsfield SB. Conflicts of interest in nutrition research. *JAMA*. 2018;320(1):93. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.5658.
27. Kurczewska-Michalak M, Lewek P, Jankowska-Polańska B, Giardini A, Granata N, Maffoni M, Costa E, Midão L, Kardas P. polypharmacy management in the older adults: A scoping review of available interventions. *Front Pharmacol* 2021;12:734045. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.734045.
28. Holmes JL, Biella A, Morck T, Rostorfer J, Schneeman B. Medical Foods: Science, regulation, and practical aspects. Summary of a workshop. *Curr Dev Nutr* 2021;5(Suppl 1):nzaa172. doi:10.1093/cdn/nzaa172.
29. Santini A, Cammarata SM, Capone G, et al. Nutraceuticals: opening the debate for a regulatory framework. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2018;84(4):659-672. doi:10.1111/bcp.13496.
30. Koyratty BN, Lin X, Zhang Y, et al. Trends and current food safety regulations and policies for functional foods containing botanicals. *Foods*. 2024;13(12):1934. doi:10.3390/foods13121934.
31. 21 U.S.C. § 321. Definitions; generally. <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/21/321> [Accessed March 16, 2026].
32. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Guidance for industry: substantiation for dietary supplement claims made under section 403(r)(6) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. Issue date January 5, 2009. <https://www.hhs.gov/guidance/document/guidance-industry-substantiation-dietary-supplement-claims-made-under-section-403r-6> [Accessed March 16, 2026].
33. Federal Trade Commission (FTC). Health products compliance guidance. Published December 20, 2022. <https://www.ftc.gov/business-guidance/resources/health-products-compliance-guidance> [Accessed March 16, 2026].
34. Food and Drug Administration. Medical foods guidance documents & regulatory information. Updated March 1, 2023. <https://www.fda.gov/food/guidance-documents-regulatory-information-to-pic-food-and-dietary-supplements/medical-foods-guidance-documents-regulatory-information> [Accessed March 16, 2026].

35. *Pearson v Shalala*, 164 F3d 650 (DC Cir 1999).
36. *Whitaker v Thompson*, 353 F3d 947 (DC Cir 2004).
37. Gostin LO, Javitt GH. Health promotion and the First Amendment: government control of the informational environment. *Milbank Q.* 2001;79(4):547-78, iv. doi: 10.1111/1468-0009.00221.
38. Emord JW. *Freedom, Technology, and the First Amendment*. 1991. San Francisco, CA: Pacific Research Institute for Public Policy.
39. Emord JW. *The Authoritarians: Their Assault on Individual Liberty, the Constitution, and Free Enterprise from the 19th Century to the Present*. 2021. New York, NY: Morgan James Publishing.
40. Trump DJ. Executive Order 14267 - Reducing Anti-Competitive Regulatory Barriers. April 9, 2025. In: Daily Compilation of Presidential Documents. Washington, DC: Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration; 2025.
<https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/04/reducing-anti-competitive-regulatory-barriers> [Accessed March 16, 2026].
41. Vandegrift R, Bateman AC, Siemens KN, Nguyen M, Wilson HE, Green JL, Van Den Wymelenberg KG, Hickey RJ. Cleanliness in context: reconciling hygiene with a modern microbial perspective. *Microbiome.* 2017;5(1):76. doi: 10.1186/s40168-017-0294-2.
42. Aggarwal N, Kitano S, Puah GRY, Kittelmann S, Hwang IY, Chang MW. microbiome and human health: current understanding, engineering, and enabling technologies. *Chem Rev.* 2023;123(1):31-72. doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.2c00431.
43. Grossman LA. Food, drugs, and droods: a historical consideration of definitions and categories in American food and drug law. *Cornell Law Rev.* 2008;93(5):1091-148. PMID:18618972.
44. Resnik DB. Proportionality in public health regulation: The case of dietary supplements. *Food Ethics.* 2018;2(1):1-16. doi: 10.1007/s41055-017-0023-3.
45. Bast A, Briggs WM, Calabrese EJ, Fenech MF, Hanekamp JC, Heaney R, Rijkers G, Schwitters B, Verhoeven P. Scientism, legalism and precaution - contending with regulating nutrition and health claims in Europe. *Eur Food Feed Law Rev* 2013;8(6):401-409. Available from:
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/24325992>
46. Schwitters B, Hanekamp JC. Substantiating regular, qualified, and traditional health claims. In: *Ensuring Global Food Safety* (Second Edition). Martinović A, Oh S, Lelieveld H (Eds.). 2022. San Diego, CA: Academic Press. pp. 187-195.